An International Multidisciplinary Journal
अनन्यशास्त्रम्
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN:3049-3927 (Online)
Volume: 02 | Issue: 01| June: 2026
Research Article

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
Peer-Reviewed | Refereed | Open Access Journal
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64328/aimjv2i1008

Regulating Cryptocurrency and FinTech: Legal and Policy Perspectives in the Indian Context

Monalisha Khatun[1]

Abstract

The rapid development of the Cryptocurrency and Financial Technology (FinTech) altered the financial aspects in India with its prospects and regulatory issues. The problem of robust legal and policy framework is gaining even more acuity with the advent of the popularity of digital assets and decentralised financial systems. The paper will explain why the cryptocurrency and FinTech regulatory environment in India has been changing in the context of interaction between innovation, consumer protection, and financial stability. It explores the intent of the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and the initiatives of the legislative actions to trade off the risk mitigation with the technological development. The research also compares regulatory models across world in an attempt to realise the best practises that can be used within the Indian setting. The research indicates that adaptive mechanisms of governance should exist by finding out how the new technologies will transform the monetary policy, taxation, and cybersecurity. The outcomes give a good focus on the necessity to have a consistent legal system which is innovation-friendly, but which improves transparency, accountability and investor confidence in the digital financial ecosystem.

Keywords: Cryptocurrency, FinTech, Regulation, Public Policy, India.

Introduction:

Introduction and justification to the research:

The advent of cryptocurrency and Financial Technology (FinTech) has altered the financial landscape of the world, transforming the structure of penetration of money and financial services by individuals, businesses, and governments. The cryptocurrency, which is a blockchain-based cryptocurrency, provides decentralized and peer-to-peer payments, which disrupt the existing banking mechanisms and regulatory bodies. FinTech, however, is a wide spectrum of technological advances in the field of finance, consisting of digital payments, fulfilling lending online, using robots as advisors, and solutions

[1] Assistant Professor, Dept of Law, Sabarmati University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0007-0645-7035, Email: monalishakhatun8686@gmail.com, Contact No: 6294459370.

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN: 3049-3927(Online)

related to blockchains. All these innovations have upset traditional financial paradigms by providing efficiency, transparency, and inclusivity and causing regulatory and governance issues of their own (Arner, Barberis, and Buckley, 2017).

The digitization of the Indian financial sector is exponentially increasing in the last ten years due to its initiatives like Digital India and Jan Dhan Yojana organized by the government, the high speed of mobile devices and internet connectivity integration. The COVID-19 crisis also exercised a greater influence in favour of the transformation toward digital financial services, as people and businesses turned to online platforms to conduct transactions and investments and obtain credits (KPMG, 2021). But, the emergence of cryptocurrency and FinTech has also fostered some issues in the aspect of financial stability, consumer safety, data security, and the risks of depleting digital assets and misusing them to conduct illegal operations. These advancements highlight the great demand of the development of a complex legal and policy system that would strike the balance between innovation and regulation.

Cryptocurrency and FinTech Development in India:

In India, the use of cryptocurrency and innovation in FinTech have increased exponentially. India is considered one of the fastest-growing FinTech ecosystems in the world by the report of the National Association of Software and Service Companies (NASSCOM, 2022), which suggests its FinTech market would reach USD 150 billion by the year 2025. The growth of online payment systems like Paytm, Ponape, and Google Pay has revolutionized how the Indians run their financial activities. A digital payments framework named the Unified Payments Interface (UPI) introduced by the National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI) has undergone the change as a critical part of this transformation, and it supports billions of transactions in real-time every month (Reserve Bank of India [RBI], 2023).

There has also been a boom in the use of cryptocurrencies and India is considered as one of the countries with the highest number of crypto owners and the most volume of crypto transactions. Online exchanges like Wazir, Coindex, and ZebPay boast of a following of millions of people who are showing increased interest in digital assets as an alternative investment tool (Chain lysis, 2022). Although the 2018 circular by the Reserve Bank of India barred banks from transacting business of any kind related to crypto- explainable, the Supreme Court of India invalidated the ban in 2020, claiming that a ban should not be the same as it must be proportionate to the degree of regulation required. Reserve Bank of India, 2020). This case was a landmark ruling that revived the concept of cryptocurrency regulation in India and helped to innovate in the FinTech industry.

Indian government has since made a careful move in coming up with a regulation framework. The creation of the Cryptocurrency and Regulation of Official Digital Currency Bill and the introduction of the Digital Rupee pilot by the RBI in 2022 are the signs of a transition to the acknowledgment of the potential of the digital currencies in a regulated environment (Ministry of Finance, 2022).

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN: 3049-3927(Online)

Nevertheless, lack of a cohesive legal framework keeps posing a lot of uncertainty to alongside investors, startups and policymakers.

Requirement of Legal and Policy Regulation:

The emerging fast rate of development of cryptocurrencies and FinTech brings opportunities and threats. On the one hand, such technologies facilitate financial inclusion, decrease the costs of transactions, and increase transparency. Conversely, they present a big challenge to money laundering, evasion of taxes, cyber security and consumer protection. Due to the nature of cryptocurrencies, which borders on decentralization, these currencies are hard to control according to the traditional financial laws, which were created to oversee centralized institutions. Additionally, the anonymity of crypto transactions also leads to a concern regarding the possibility of the misuse of this type of transaction in the form of funding terrorism and committing fraud (Financial Action Task Force [FATF], 2021).

The response to neo-liberal regulatory actions in India has been rather divided as several agencies, including the RBI, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and the ministry of finance, have had overlapping roles. This has contributed to the lack of clarity in the legal classification of cryptocurrencies and FinTech activities. As an example, the RBI has been cautious on crypto assets, whereas SEBI has worked on protection of investors and integrity of the market. The lack of a coherent regulatory policy has negatively affected the establishment of a well-defined and predictable environment in terms of innovation (PwC, 2021).

Moreover, the taxation of cryptocurrency transactions can be considered one of the hot topics. The 2022 Union Budget presented a 30 tax on income over virtual digital assets, meaning that the government does not intend to prohibit but to regulate cryptocurrencies. Nonetheless, the tax rate is high and there is no clarity on compliance mechanisms discouraging some investors and startups (Ministry of Finance, 2022). In like manner, FinTech firms have encountered regulatory challenges of data privacy, online lending regulations, and international transactions. The Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 can help alleviate some of these fears, however, its enactment and enforcement is significant to ensure that consumers have trust in the act and their data is safe (Government of India, 2023).

With such complexities, an urgent need to address such complexities is the coherent legal and policy framework to promote innovation and protect financial stability and interests of consumers. The principles of this framework ought to be in line with both a global best practice such as principles used by FATF and International monetary fund (IMF) to ensure consistency in regulations and competitiveness.

Research Problem, Objectives and Significance of the Study:

The overall issue that will be resolved in this research is the absence of a complete and consistent regulatory framework in cryptocurrency and FinTech in India. In spite of the fast growth witnessed in the sector, regulatory uncertainty still affects innovation, investment and consumer confidence. Lack

ISSN: 3049-3927(Online)

of clear legal definitions, varying policy reaction, and overlapping administration between control initiates has led to fragmentation of governance. This paper aims to examine the current legal and policy frameworks, find the loopholes, and suggest the most effective suggestions of how to have proper regulation of balancing innovation and risk management in the situations.

This research aims to accomplish the following objectives:

- To discuss the existing legal and policy frames allocated to cryptocurrency and FinTech in India.
- To examine the issues and consequences of the regulation of digital financial innovations.
- To benchmark the Indian approach in regulation with those that are practiced globally.
- To suggest policy objectives on the creation of a balanced and flexible regulatory framework.

This study has importance in that it can help in an effort to continue the discussion of digital financial regulation in India. Through the in-depth examination of the legal, policy aspects of cryptocurrencies and FinTech, the study will help policymakers, regulators, and other stakeholders of the industry develop well-informed strategies. Researchers of law and legal professionals interested in knowing more about the intersection of technology, law, and governance in the digital economy will also find the results useful.

To sum up, in the context of India and its role as a leader of digital innovation, the economic regulation related to cryptocurrency and FinTech will be key to defining the future of Indian financial system. Making sure that the economy has a well-developed legal and policy framework can encourage innovation, improve financial inclusion, and stabilize the economy. On the other hand, excess regulation can result into systemic risks, market turmoil and loss of confidence among the people. Thus, this paper highlights the significance of establishing an effective middle ground in regulation that is consistent with the overall objectives of India in digital transformation, economic growth, and good governance.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Recent World and Indian Research in Cryptocurrency and FinTech Regulations:

Around the world, cryptocurrency and FinTech regulation is widely debated on scholarly and policy levels. Scholars have looked at the ways governments strike a balance in innovation and financial stability and consumer protection. Arner, Barberis and Buckley (2017) posit that FinTech has changed the nature of financial intermediation and that require adaptive regulatory frameworks that enhance innovation and reduce the systemic risks. In the same way, Zetsche, Buckley, and Arner (2020) note that it is significant, especially with regards to the concept of RegTech and SupTech, which are technologies of regulation that boost the operational efficiency of the supervisors and the effectiveness of compliance monitoring.

Regulating decentralised systems is among the problems identified through studies in the context of cryptocurrency. According to Narayanan et al. (2016), cryptocurrencies make it difficult to enforce laws against money laundering (AML) and against counter-terrorism financing (CTF) practises due to

ISSN: 3049-3927(Online)

its anonymity and non-geographical boundaries. A risk-based approach to the regulation of virtual assets, such as transparency and international cooperation, is proposed by Financial Action Taskforce (FAFT, 2021).

In India, there have been studies on the new attitude of regulators like the Reserve bank of India (RBI) and the Securities and exchange board of India (SEBI). As Bansal (2020) notes, the regulatory policy in India has been swings between prohibition and passive permission due to the issue of investor security and financial stability. The case of a 2020 Supreme Court ruling in response to the cryptocurrency trading banking ban by the RBI was a significant modification, which is why the discussions on the comprehensive regulation have begun anew. Reserve Bank of India, 2020). The latest researches (Kumar and Gupta, 2022) note that the government attempts to establish the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 and the planned Cryptocurrency and Regulation of Official Digital Currency Bill, which is an indicator of the transition to the structured regulation sphere.

Conceptual Model of Financial Innovation and Regulation Governance:

Theoretical base on the cryptocurrency and FinTech regulation relies on the principles of financial innovation, regulatory governance as well as institutional adjustment. Innovation Drive Theory (Rogers, 2003) is information regarding the spread of technological innovations within financial systems and affecting regulatory reactions. Regulation According to the Public Interest Theory of Regulation (Posner, 1974), the idea that regulation appears to safeguard the welfare of the population is especially in markets that are vulnerable to information asymmetry and systemic risk.

The other applicable framework is the Adaptive Regulation Model (Black, 2015) that suggests flexible, technology-neutral policies that can adapt to the developments in the market. This model is especially relevant to the FinTech, as high-speed innovation usually beats the standard regulatory processes. Within the Indian context, researchers, including Arora (2021), support the idea of a hybrid regulatory model that would make sure to have innovation, as well as accountability, through a mash-up of principles-based, as well as risk-based, models.

Findings of Research Gaps:

However, in spite of increasing literature, there are still a number of research gaps. First, the majority of the literature dwells upon macro-level policy analysis, and very little empirical research is devoted to the microeconomic effect of cryptocurrency and FinTech regulation on a startup, investors, and consumers. Second, it lacks comparative analysis of the regulatory model and the best practises of the global perspective as pertains to India, especially in taxation, data governance, and international transactions. Third, despite the focus on adaptive regulation of theoretical models, there are limited studies to evaluate the practical issues of application of theoretical models in developing economies. Last but not least, the issue of FinTech, data privacy, and cybersecurity has not been properly verified yet, particularly in the sustainable Indian digital transformation.

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN: 3049-3927(Online)

Filling these gaps would be crucial to coming up with a holistic view of how regulatory framework can strike the right balance between innovation, inclusion and finances in the emerging digital economy.

Legal Framework for Cryptocurrency and FinTech in India

Present Regulatory Environment of Cryptocurrency in India:

The cryptocurrency regulation process in India was marked with contradiction, switching back and forth between banning and being cautious. The introduction of Bitcoin in 2009 and the introduction of digital assets led to the consideration of the relevance of the Indian regulators in terms of financial stability and consumer protection. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and the Ministry of Finance took the first steps towards the same with scepticism through which it warned investors against the risks of using virtual currencies. The RBI released the first warning notice in 2013 and cautioned its users against dealing in cryptocurrencies due to its volatility, fraud, and inability to cover legal aspects (Reserve Bank of India [RBI], 2013).

The government has since launched a study on regulatory implications of the trading of cryptocurrencies, in form of an Inter-Ministerial Committee (IMC) in 2017, when the cryptocurrency trading really took off. In 2019, the 2019 report of the IMC suggested a complete ban on personal cryptocurrencies, but suggested the birth of an official digital currency (Ministry of Finance, 2019). Later on, in April 2018, the RBI drafted a statement that clearly discouraged banks and other financial institutions to provide their services to the crypto-related enterprises. This move was however challenged in the Supreme Court, and in 2020 a landmark was set, the ban was ruled unconstitutional and illegal (Internet and Mobile Association of India v.). Reserve Bank of India, 2020).

Nonetheless, the stance of the government has evolved, as since 2020, the government is similar to regulation rather than prohibition. In 2021, the Cryptocurrency and Regulation of Official Digital Currency Bill was introduced and it was introduced to introduce a framework in the release of a central bank digital currency (CBDC) and restrict the use and provision of personal currencies. The Union budget of 2022 suggests introducing a 30 percent tax on income generated due to the usage of virtual digital assets and a 1 percent tax deducted at the source (TDS) when conducting transactions, which shows the intent of the government to regulate the industry through the application of the fiscal tool, but not whether it is prohibited (Ministry of Finance, 2022).

Role: The role of the reserve bank of India (RBI), SEBI and the ministry of finance

The cryptocurrency and FinTech laws in India involve a number of bodies with agencies within the same jurisdictions. The RBI being a key central bank is highly instrumental in terms of providing monetary stability and maintaining the payment systems. It has been constantly bringing out how the cryptocurrencies are jeopardising its integrity of finances and consumer. However, the RBI has not been deprived of the element of innovation and has introduced various technological advances like the

ISSN: 3049-3927(Online)

Regulatory Sandbox Framework in 2019 and the pilot introduction of the Digital Rupee in 2022, which find the right balance in terms of technological development (RBI, 2022).

The financial regulators of crypto-assets that may form a security or investment product are the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) of the securities market. Protection of the investors, market manipulations and disclosures are some of the areas where SEBI has focused over. The process of the formulation and legislative projects on digital assets is provided through the Ministry of Finance, and, in particular, the Department of Economic Affairs. It has been immensely contributing to the drafting of the proposed cryptocurrency bill and the taxing of the virtual digital assets.

The collaboration between these institutions has been an issue since adherence and enforcing to their legality is unclear due to the absence of a standardised regulatory framework. To help in consistent regulation of the digital financial ecosystem, the collaboration of the team of the RBI and the SEBI with the Ministry of Finance is required.

Analysis of the Existing Laws: payment and Settlement systems Act, IT Act, and Proposed Cryptocurrency Bill, Bitcoin.

PSSA is the law governing payment system in India and has been formulated to provide the legal provision on payment systems. Even though it talks about cryptocurrencies, it nevertheless empowers RBI to regulate those who are in the business of processing and settlement of payments. The stipulations of the Act have been used in the same regard but, the cryptocurrencies that are decentralised do not come under the same and therefore, they do not explicitly see the services of the Act.

IT Act- The information technology act 2000 is the law that governs computer protection and information protection, belonging to electronic dealings. Unauthorised access and data breach of Part 43A and 66 of the Act apply in the FinTech operations. However, blockchain and cryptocurrency operations are not specifically prescribed by the IT Act, and this provides a loophole to the smart contracts and decentralised finance (DeFi).

The proposed Cryptocurrency and Regulation of Official Digital Currency Bill is devoted to fill this gap and outline the cryptocurrencies, describe their authorised use, and establish a framework of dealing with the issue of issuing a central bank digital currency (CBDC). This bill will abolish the privately owned cryptocurrencies but with few exceptions in the effort to promote blockchain technology. However, critics are afraid that a full prohibition is likely to kill harmony and transfer crypto operations onto the dark web (Bansal, 2020).

Seminal case law and Jurisprudence:

The court mediation has played a significant role in the development of the cryptocurrency regulation in India. The Internet and Mobile Association of India v. is the greatest one. In mezzanine of the case in which the Supreme Court upheld the lawsuit, brought by the petition of Reserve Bank of India (2020), stating that it withdrew its 2018 circular, banning the acquisition of banking services by the

ISSN: 3049-3927(Online)

crypto-related firms. The Court held that the circular had violated Article 19(1)(g) of Indian Constitution that guarantees protection to the right to carry out any profession or trade. It underlined that the RBI had not demonstrated any physical harm that the cryptocurrencies developed did to the financial system, and therefore its prohibition is disproportionate.

The other one, like the one, is the case of Kamal trading Co. v. state of Gujarat (2019) where a case about the legality of the cryptocurrency trading was discussed by the Gujarat High Court. Although from the case the law has not been clearly known whether the cryptocurrencies are legal or not, the case stated the importance of the law being made clear.

Moderate stand of judicial opinions with this position being one that promotes regulation rather than prohibition is generally witnessed. The courts have also seen the promise of the blockchain technology but the government should devise a clear legal framework that would prevent abuse.

Policy Perspectives and Governance Challenges

Digital Finance in Government Initiatives and Responses of the Policy:

The Government of India has gone to various measures to support the digital finance and financial inclusions and overcome the challenges presented by the emerging technologies in the regulation. Digital India campaign came into being in 2015 with the vigour to enable the economy to be digitally empowered by facilitating online payments, e-governance, and digital literacy. The Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY) along with Aadhaar and Mobile connectivity developed the JAM trio which allowed millions of citizens to get formal financial access (NITI Aayog, 2021).

The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) created a regulatory framework, the Regulatory Sandbox Framework, in 2019 to enable new financial products to be piloted with regulated environments, in the FinTech space. On the same note, the National Strategy on Financial Inclusion (2020-2025) places a lot of importance on the adoption of technology in order to boost access to credit, insurance, and digital payments. Aadhaar and the India Stack programme developed by the government also comprising of Unified Payments Interface (UPI) have transformed online transactions and lowered the costs that financial institutions can operate (RBI, 2022).

The policy response of the government regarding cryptocurrencies has changed its negative view towards the technology, to some wary regulation. Promptly, an understanding of cryptocurrency as an asset class as opposed to an illegal currency can be traced in the introduction of a 30 per cent tax on income obtained as a result of virtual digital assets and a 1 per cent TDS on transactions, which have been introduced in the 2022 Union Budget (Ministry of Finance, 2022). In 2022, with the pilot launch of the Digital Rupee, India once again showed its interest in the exploration of the concept of central bank digital currency (CBDCs) as an alternative to personal cryptocurrencies, which is safe and legally regulated.

Trade-Off between Innovation and Consumer Protection and Financial Stability:

One of the primary issues in the regulation of cryptocurrency and FinTech is to find a balance between innovation and consumer protection and financial stability. Technological change has taken fast strides that may not be tamed by the institution to regulate. The RBI and the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) have stressed on having a technological neutral regulatory framework that promotes innovation and reduces systemic risks.

Fraud, data violation and deceit investment schemes are all consumer safeguarding issues. The Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 seeks to protect user information and create personal responsibility among digital service providers. The decentralisation aspect of cryptocurrencies, however, makes them difficult to enforce, because transactions are made without using the conventional financial intermediaries.

Another important issue is financial security. Cryptocurrencies are volatile which is a threat to monetary policy and capital flows. The RBI has often cited that the sheer acceptability of the wide usage of personal cryptocurrencies may compromise the stability of the financial stability (RBI, 2023). As such, an effective regulatory framework should make sure that innovation does not affect the macroeconomic stability and consumer confidence.

Taxation, Money laundering and security on the Internet:

The cryptocurrency transaction is one of the major policy concerns that is subject to taxation. The 2022 Union Budget proposed a tax on the income obtained by virtual digital assets (no loss cancellation) that is a flat tax of 30%. This tool is also focused on introducing transparency and accountability in the crypto ecosystem but also triggered fears on deterring innovation and investment (Ministry of Finance, 2022).

Financial laundering and unlawful funds transfer stays a big problem. Cryptocurrency transactions expose a person to abuse by facilitating illegal acts due to the anonymity of the transactions. To permeate this, India has aligned its policies with the guidelines of the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) with its guidelines to implement the practises of Know Your Customer (KYC) and Anti-Money laundering (AML) virtual asset service providers (FATF, 2021).

Another burning issue in the FinTech industry is cybersecurity. The growing level of financial services digitalization has brought with it numerous cyberattacks, data breaches, and ransomware attacks. The law governing the response to cyber threats is the Information Technology Act, 2000 and the National Cyber Security Policy, 2013, yet due to the appearance of blockchain-based systems, there is need to revise the approaches to cybersecurity. Digital infrastructure enhancing, propagating cyber hygiene, and improving the coordination process among the regulatory agencies should reinforce the integrity of digital finance.

International Comparative analysis of regulatory patterns:

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN: 3049-3927(Online)

An international regulatory model undertaken by comparing models of countries shows that there are varied approaches to cryptocurrencies and FinTech regulation.

United States: The U.S. employs a disjointed regulatory approach, with various authorities like the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC), and Financial Office of Financial Crimes Network (FinCEN) trading the various types of digital assets. The U.S. model tries to protect investors, comply with AML, and tax, but does not attempt to stop innovation at the state level of licencing systems (Zetzsche et al., 2020).

European Union: The EU has enforced a holistic framework under the Markets in Crypto-Assets (MiCA) Regulation which harmonises the rules in the issuance, trading and custody of crypto-assets amongst EU member states. MiCA is centred on the transparency, consumer protection, and market integrity, which helps ensure legal security of business and investors (European Commission, 2022).

Singapore: Singapore Payment Services Act, 2019 is a progressive framework that incorporates the cryptocurrency regulation into the financial system. The Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS) facilitates innovation by using regulatory sandboxes and following the stringent standards of AML and counter-terrorism financing (CTF) (MAS, 2021).

Japan: Japan was the first one to declare Bitcoin as legal tender under the Payment Services Act, 2017. Cryptocurrency exchanges are controlled by the Financial Services Agency (FSA), and they are checked with references to the security and consumer protection standards. The example of Japan proves that the clear legal recognition can promote the innovation and keep the oversight (FSA, 2020).

In comparison to these models, the regulatory approach of India is very conservative and developing. Although the government has already made some steps toward taxation and the development of CBDC, the lack of a full-fledged legal support still puts the issue into uncertainty. A hybrid approach of the EU organised regulation, the innovation receptive policies of Singapore and consumer protection strategies of Japan may be of assistance to India in having a balanced and competitive regulatory approach in the world.

Results, Effectiveness Response, Research procedures, and research recommendations

Impact Assessment:

The economic and legal effects of the regulation of India Cryptocurrency and electronic money are of a broad scope. At the economic perspective, the operationalization of the digital economy has been supported by introduction of taxation of virtual digital assets and the level of incremental level of accepted FinTech innovation. The taxing income of cryptocurrency at 30 percent and paying 1 percent in form of tax on transactions called TDS tax have provided some sources of revenue to the government and delayed the process of speculative trading. The actions have however also yielded to the estrangement of the volumes of trading and exchanges to other jurisdictions where regulations are more friendly. The legal standpoint is one in which the absence of a comprehensive and well-developed prescribed system has brought about confusion especially when it comes to determining whether cryptocurrencies should be treated as commodities or as securities or even as a currency, which has presented compliance challenges to the business and investors.

FinTech regulation as such has enabled consumer protection and increased financial stability. The Innovative Environment the Regulatory Sandbox Framework established by the reserve bank of India (RBI) as well as the Digital personal data protection act 2023 has provided a structured approach of innovation and have also ensured responsibility. The duplication of powers between the regulatory bodies such as RBI, SEBI, and the Ministry of Finance however remains as an issue as far as implementation and standardisation of policies is concerned.

The regulation, both in terms of financial inclusion and investor confidence, and in terms of innovation, is ambivalent in its influences. Use of FinTech application such as UPI, electronic lending and e-wallets has significantly enhanced financial inclusion particularly to the rural and low-income population. Through the joint working with the mobile technology, Aadhaar has enabled the access of millions of individuals to banking and credit services. However, rigid strict policies and over imbursement of the crypto industry has also undermined the balance of investors. Although institutional investors believe regulation is one of the ways to achieve legitimacy, retail investors are uncertain of the volatility and uncertainty in securing themselves due to legal protection.

The stakeholders on the ecosystem have a difference in their worldviews. Primarily to the regulators are financial stability, and consumer protection which lays emphasis on mitigating money laundering and fraud. It would be possible to view FinTech as a challenge and opportunity to both the financial institution and its traditional counterparts, where their digital equivalents challenge them but their establishments have enhanced their efficiency and experience of connexion with their customers. In their turn, consumers prefer using digital finance because it gives them convenience but are concerned about the privacy of their data, cybercrime, and complaint systems.

Research Methodology:

The research being studied is a mixed-method study, which involves a qualitative and analysis study to focus on legal and policy issues of cryptocurrency and FinTech regulation in India. Qualitative section will involve the review of policy reports, court cases, and professional reviews, which ought to have a revelation on how administrative regulations came about. The analytical aspect assesses economic and institutional impacts of such regulations through a comparison and interpretative analysis.

To obtain a wide diversity of the opinions of the stakeholders, primary data are received based on semi-structured interviews with policymakers, legal experts, FinTech entrepreneurs and investors. Both the surveys are conducted to the consumers and the small business owners to establish the level of awareness, trust, and adoption of the digital financial services.

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN: 3049-3927(Online)

The secondary sources include government publications, RBI, SEBI manuals, the academic literature, and international provisions where the example is the Markets in the Crypto-Assets (MiCA) Regulation (EU) and the Payment Services Act (Singapore).

The focus model is a comparative legal analysis model, and it involves comparing the regulatory model applied to India and the best practises in the world. They also use the thematic analysis as part of the study to elicit consistent patterns among the stakeholder responses and responses.

Findings and Discussion:

The findings are that the Indian policy on cryptocurrency and FinTech remains in its infancy, but it is still fragmented. The decision by the government in prohibiting and subsequently controlling digital finance is a feasible actualization of the potential of digital finance. This has however been inconsistent because there is no common law.

Other lessons that can be considered pivotal are that even though regulation of FinTech has succeeded in the aspect of making innovation and inclusion attainable, the regulation of cryptocurrencies remains reactive unlike proactive. Small investors and startups have been unwillingly pushed away due to the progressive nature of taxation system. RBI is very conservative in reference to the crypto coins issued privately but very progressive with reference to the Digital Rupee, as it is a two-sided way of digital finance.

The evaluation of regulatory effectiveness shows that the policies of India have been opened more transparent and safeguarding the consumer credit but they lack in ensuring that there is coherence in the policies. Regulatory bodies have minimal control and coordination on each other thus overlapping mandate and slower decision making. Moreover, no explicit definitions of the digital assets define difficult enforcement and protection of investors.

The loopholes identified include lack of an organic data protection system to users of Fintech, lack of adequate cyberspace infrastructure and lack of awareness to consumers regarding the dangers of online finance. Based on the paper, it is also concluded that the regulatory environment in India is lower than that in the international standards in terms of transparency, flexibility, and international cooperation.

Recommendations:

Various policy and legislative modifications are also proposed in order to establish an appropriate regulation and permit at least some progress in innovation.

Recommendations of the effective regulation and innovation balance policy:

Establish one or an inter-agency mechanism of coordination to be able to regulate cryptocurrency and FinTech activity.

shift to risk-oriented regulatory framework in which risky and beneficial digital assets are separated.

Turn the RBI regulatory Sandbox into blockchain-based financial products and decentralised finance (DeFi). Amendments on the legislation to improve transparency, accountability and protection of investors:

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN: 3049-3927(Online)

Enact a Digital Assets and Fintech Regulation Act that will define the cryptocurrencies, the functionality permissible and consumer protection guidelines.

Optimise enforcement of AML/KYC compliance as per the FATF operating guidelines.

Raise the question of regulating the aspect of whether crypto exchanges (as well as other FinTech platforms) should or should not disclose information about their services to make them more transparent and guarantee to a higher extent of investor confidence.

Proposals on harmonising the Indian Laws with the International Standards:

In addition to the fact that the regulatory framework applied by Align India is also aligned with the international standards, e.g. MiCA Regulation of the EU and the Singapore Payment Services Act.

Promote the multinational cooperation in information sharing and the eradication of illicit monetary transactions.

encourage interactive business-government partnerships to create cybersecurity systems and digital literacy.

Conclusion

Introduction of cryptocurrency and FinTech regulation in India is a possible topic of discussion as a second step alteration of financial and legal environment in the country. Invariably India has moved within the past decade across the features of a sceptical and prohibiting policy, to a more heedful conceding policy and a cautious regulating policy. This transformation demonstrates that the government has awakened to the fact of such huge potential in the digital finance to attain economic growth, financial inclusion, and technological innovation. However, it also highlights the challenges when it comes to striking a balance between the aspects of innovation and consumer protection, financial and legal protection.

As indicated in the analysis, the FinTech regulation in India is now mature with such initiatives as the Regulatory Sandbox Framework, Digital India, and the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, yet the cryptocurrency regulation remains fragmented and reactive. The absence of a detailed legislative framework continues to create uncertainty to investors, startups and regulators. The introduction of the virtual digital taxation on assets and the release of the Digital Rupee is quite an important step, and it means that the policy framework should be more unified and flexible.

As can be seen in the experience in India, proper regulation should be dynamic, participatory and global. The success of one control strategy will contribute to uniformity and responsibility, which is the collaboration of the efforts of the RBI, SEBI, and the Ministry of Finance. Also, by matching the local laws concerning cryptocurrency with the global ones that contain MiCA Regulation of the EU, payment services act of Singapore, etc., India will be more likely to be regarded in terms of a reliable digital economy, on the global level.

Lastly, the future of cryptocurrency and FinTech regulation in India can be linked to the possibility of the country promoting innovations and securing the interest of people simultaneously. Being operated with an equal-balanced, open, and prospective structure, India will be capable of developing and becoming one of the world leaders in the field of digital finance, a force, which is more concerned with technological improvements, economic stability, and sustainable development.

References

Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2017). FinTech and RegTech: Impact on regulators and banks. Journal of Banking Regulation, 19(4), 1–14.

KPMG. (2021). Pulse of FinTech H2 2021: Global trends shaping the future of FinTech. KPMG International.

NASSCOM. (2022). India FinTech Report 2022. National Association of Software and Service Companies.

Reserve Bank of India (RBI). (2023). Report on trend and progress of banking in India 2022–23. RBI Publications.

Chainalysis. (2022). The 2022 Geography of Cryptocurrency Report. Chain lysis Research.

Internet and Mobile Association of India v. Reserve Bank of India, (2020) 10 SCC 274 (India).

Ministry of Finance. (2022). Union Budget 2022–23: Taxation of virtual digital assets. Government of India.

Financial Action Task Force (FATF). (2021). Updated guidance for a risk-based approach to virtual assets and virtual asset service providers. FATF Publications.

PwC. (2021). The future of banking: AI and FinTech integration. PricewaterhouseCoopers.

Ministry of Finance. (2022). Union Budget 2022–23: Taxation of virtual digital assets. Government of India.

Government of India. (2023). Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology.

Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2017). FinTech and RegTech: Impact on regulators and banks. Journal of Banking Regulation, 19(4), 1–14.

Zetzsche, D. A., Buckley, R. P., & Arner, D. W. (2020). Regulating FinTech: Principles and challenges. Harvard International Law Journal, 61(2), 123–156.

Narayanan, A., Bonneau, J., Felten, E., Miller, A., & Goldfeder, S. (2016). Bitcoin and cryptocurrency technologies: A comprehensive introduction. Princeton University Press.

Financial Action Task Force (FATF). (2021). Updated guidance for a risk-based approach to virtual assets and virtual asset service providers. FATF Publications.

Kumar, S., & Gupta, P. (2022). FinTech regulation and digital transformation in India: Policy perspectives. Journal of Financial Innovation, 8(3), 112–129.

Rogers, E. M. (2003). Diffusion of innovations (5th ed.). Free Press.

ISSN: 3049-3927(Online)

Posner, R. A. (1974). Theories of economic regulation. The Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science, 5(2), 335–358.

Black, J. (2015). Regulatory styles and adaptive governance in financial markets. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, 35(2), 221–247

Arora, S. (2021). Regulating financial innovation in India: Challenges and opportunities. New Delhi: Sage Publications.

Ministry of Finance. (2019). Report of the Inter-Ministerial Committee on virtual currencies. Government of India.

Kamal Trading Co. v. State of Gujarat, (2019) SCC Online Guj 1532 (India).

European Commission. (2022). Markets in Cry