An International Multidisciplinary Journal
अनन्यशास्त्रम्™
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN:3049-3927 (Online)
Volume: 01 | Issue: 02 | August: 2025
Research Article

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
Peer-Reviewed | Refereed | Open Access Journal
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64328/aimjv01i02023

Beyond the Bright Side: A Critical Review of Toxic Positivity and Its Psychological Costs

Dr. Piyushkumar Dholariya*

Abstract

Positive psychology, once heralded as a transformative shift in mental health, has come under scrutiny for its unintended consequence: **toxic positivity**. This review critically explores the psychological cost of suppressing negative emotions under the guise of optimism. Toxic positivity—characterized by the dismissal of emotional pain in favor of forced cheerfulness—has become pervasive in modern culture, from social media to corporate wellness initiatives. While positivity can foster resilience, its misapplication can invalidate genuine suffering and contribute to emotional repression, isolation, and long-term mental health issues. We distinguish **toxic positivity** from **healthy positivity**, the latter of which encourages emotional authenticity and psychological flexibility. Drawing on empirical research, we examine how toxic positivity adversely impacts individuals experiencing grief, trauma, and chronic illness—contexts in which emotional validation is essential. Suppressing difficult emotions in these circumstances has been linked to higher rates of anxiety, depression, and complicated grief. As a constructive alternative, the paper introduces **tragic optimism**, a concept rooted in Viktor Frankl's existential psychology. Tragic optimism emphasizes hope and meaning-making in the face of suffering rather than its denial. Empirical studies on resilience and post-traumatic growth support the efficacy of this approach in promoting psychological well-being without minimizing pain. The review concludes with actionable recommendations for researchers, clinicians, and institutions to integrate a more balanced model of emotional well-being. These include promoting psychological flexibility, training mental health providers in emotional validation techniques, and reforming public narratives around positivity. By embracing emotional complexity and validating suffering, positive psychology can evolve into a more inclusive and compassionate science.

Keywords: Toxic positivity, positive psychology, emotional suppression, tragic optimism, mental health.

Introduction

Positive psychology, formally recognized in the early 2000s, aimed to shift psychology's focus from treating illness to enhancing well-being (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000). By emphasizing happiness, strengths,

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Piyushkumar Dholariya, Assistant Professor, Monark University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India.
Email: piyush.dholariya@gmail.com, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0859-2958

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN : 3049-3927(Online)

gratitude, and flourishing, it offered a needed counterbalance to decades of problem-focused models. Yet in its widespread cultural adoption, a distortion emerged—where positivity became not just ideal but **expected**.

This expectation has birthed a phenomenon known as **toxic positivity**—the pressure to always be positive, even at the cost of authenticity and emotional truth. While positivity itself can be a powerful psychological tool, when misapplied, it suppresses valid emotional experiences like grief, sadness, and fear. In its extreme form, toxic positivity can leave people feeling unseen, isolated, and ashamed of their negative emotions (Quintero & Long, 2019).

This article critically examines toxic positivity and contrasts it with emotionally intelligent, healthy positivity. We explore its psychological consequences, particularly in the context of grief, trauma, and chronic illness, and advocate for Viktor Frankl's concept of **tragic optimism** as a more balanced, human-centered alternative. By revisiting the roots of positive psychology with a critical lens, we argue for a more emotionally inclusive model of mental health.

Defining Toxic Positivity vs. Healthy Positivity

What Is Toxic Positivity?

Toxic positivity is the belief that one should maintain a positive mindset and suppress any negative emotions, no matter how painful the circumstances. It includes phrases like:

- "Just think happy thoughts."
- "Everything happens for a reason."
- "It could be worse."

Such expressions, while often well-intended, invalidate emotional pain and discourage individuals from processing difficult experiences. According to psychologist Whitney Goodman (2021), toxic positivity "diminishes and denies real human emotions, leaving people emotionally stranded."

In a 2020 study, individuals exposed to toxic positivity statements during high-stress events reported **increased emotional suppression and lower perceived social support** (Barrett et al., 2020).

Healthy Positivity: Emotionally Intelligent Optimism

By contrast, **healthy positivity** involves acknowledging painful feelings while cultivating hope and resilience. It allows for dual awareness: "I'm struggling, but I know I can get through this." This mindset aligns with **psychological flexibility**—a core principle of mental well-being that promotes adapting to difficult situations while staying aligned with one's values (Kashdan & Rottenberg, 2010).

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN : 3049-3927(Online)

Brené Brown (2015) emphasizes that true connection stems not from dismissing discomfort but from embracing vulnerability. Healthy positivity recognizes pain as part of life—not something to be fixed immediately, but something to be respected.

Empirical Evidence and Clinical Implications

A landmark study by Ford et al. (2018) involving over 1,000 adults found that those who **accepted negative emotions without judgment** had better psychological health than those who suppressed them. Emotional suppression was correlated with increased stress, depression, and anxiety—ironically, the very conditions positive psychology seeks to prevent.

Furthermore, a meta-analysis by Shallcross et al. (2010) showed that **experiential avoidance**—the attempt to avoid negative thoughts and feelings—predicts poor mental health outcomes across multiple disorders, including PTSD and generalized anxiety.

Cultural Pressures and Social Media

Toxic positivity isn't just a personal tendency—it's also a cultural phenomenon. In corporate settings, there's often an unspoken expectation to display relentless optimism, known as **emotional labor** (Hochschild, 1983). Employees are expected to "stay upbeat" even under toxic workloads, contributing to burnout and emotional dissonance.

On **social media**, this problem is amplified. Platforms reward curated "perfect" lives and often silence or marginalize expressions of pain. A study by Chou & Edge (2012) found that frequent Facebook users were more likely to believe others had better lives, leading to envy and lowered self-esteem.

Toxic positivity may stem from good intentions but results in emotional suppression, social disconnection, and psychological distress. By drawing a clear line between forced optimism and healthy emotional openness, this paper sets the foundation for understanding the deeper consequences of emotional invalidation—and what alternatives might offer a better path.

Psychological Consequences of Toxic Positivity

Toxic positivity, by encouraging the suppression of authentic emotional responses, has wide-reaching consequences on mental health. While it may masquerade as resilience, its impact on grief, trauma recovery, chronic illness, and identity development can be deeply harmful. In this section, we explore empirical evidence and real-world examples that illustrate how forced positivity can hinder healing and exacerbate psychological distress.

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN : 3049-3927(Online)

Toxic Positivity and Grief

Grief is one of the most emotionally intense and necessary human processes. Attempts to bypass it using platitudes—such as "They're in a better place" or "Everything happens for a reason"—often invalidate the mourner's pain.

In a qualitative study of bereaved individuals, Neimeyer and Burke (2017) found that **emotional suppression** of grief correlated with complicated grief symptoms, including rumination, detachment, and guilt. Participants who felt pressured to "move on" or appear cheerful were more likely to report feelings of alienation and unresolved sorrow.

Example

After losing her child to a genetic condition, grief advocate Angela Miller (2021) described how repeated messages like "At least you have another child" compounded her trauma. Such statements, while meant to comfort, denied the uniqueness and legitimacy of her loss.

Toxic positivity during grief obstructs a process that is inherently non-linear and deeply personal. Rather than facilitating recovery, it **short-circuits mourning**, often leading to suppressed emotion and long-term mental health consequences.

Trauma and Emotional Invalidation

Trauma survivors are particularly vulnerable to the harm caused by toxic positivity. Encouraging someone to "just focus on the good" in the aftermath of abuse, assault, or violence may inadvertently **re-traumatize** the individual by minimizing their experience.

A 2021 study by Brooks et al. in *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse* examined emotional invalidation in trauma survivors and found that those who received dismissive responses—whether from peers, therapists, or family—had higher symptoms of PTSD and lower perceived social support.

Example

During the COVID-19 pandemic, frontline healthcare workers often received praise for their "heroism," while their actual emotional needs were ignored. As a result, many internalized guilt for feeling burnout or depression, believing they were failing to live up to the idealized narrative (Feingold et al., 2022).

Moreover, trauma recovery involves integrating both painful and positive experiences. Toxic positivity interferes with this integration, fostering **emotional dissonance** and avoidance.

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN : 3049-3927(Online)

Chronic Illness and the Positivity Trap

Individuals with chronic illness or disability often encounter "positive thinking" rhetoric that borders on ableism. Comments like "Just stay strong" or "Mind over matter" may overlook the reality of pain, fatigue, and systemic challenges faced by these individuals.

A qualitative study of people living with autoimmune diseases found that many experienced a **"positivity burden"**—feeling obligated to appear upbeat despite physical suffering (Mizrahi et al., 2020). Those who could not maintain this façade often reported internalized shame and decreased self-worth.

Example

Blogger and activist Jen Brea, who documented her struggle with ME/CFS in the film *Unrest* (2017), frequently described how medical professionals dismissed her condition as anxiety or stress—suggesting she "stay positive" and "just exercise." These suggestions delayed her diagnosis and contributed to psychological deterioration.

In such contexts, toxic positivity can silence advocacy, block access to support, and isolate individuals from their own truth.

Emotional Repression and Mental Health Outcomes

The cumulative effect of toxic positivity is emotional repression. Studies show that individuals who habitually suppress negative emotions are more prone to anxiety, depression, and even physiological issues such as hypertension (Gross & Levenson, 1997).

In contrast, **emotional acceptance**—acknowledging and allowing emotions without judgment—has been consistently linked to better well-being. For example, Shallcross et al. (2010) found that participants who practiced emotional openness had better outcomes during stressful life events than those who used suppression.

Social psychologist Ethan Kross (2014) also demonstrated that journaling or labeling negative emotions leads to lower amygdala activation (the brain's fear center), while forced reframing or "silver lining" talk often increases distress.

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN : 3049-3927(Online)

Conclusion

From grief and trauma to chronic illness, toxic positivity exacerbates psychological suffering by invalidating real human experiences. While positivity can uplift, when misapplied, it **harms rather than heals**. Psychological resilience does not come from suppressing emotion—but from **honoring it**.

Tragic Optimism: A Balanced Alternative

In contrast to toxic positivity's insistence on denying pain, **tragic optimism** embraces suffering as an essential part of the human experience. The term, coined by Viktor Frankl (1985), reflects the capacity to maintain hope and find meaning **in spite of** pain, loss, and tragedy—not by avoiding them.

This section introduces the theoretical foundation of tragic optimism, its empirical support, and its application in modern psychological contexts. It argues that tragic optimism offers a realistic, humane response to life's inevitable suffering, especially in therapeutic work and public discourse.

What Is Tragic Optimism?

Viktor Frankl, a Holocaust survivor and existential psychiatrist, introduced the concept of tragic optimism in his book Man's Search for Meaning. He described it as "the optimism in the face of tragedy and in view of the human potential which at its best always allows for:"

"(1) turning suffering into a human achievement and accomplishment; (2) deriving from guilt the opportunity to change oneself for the better; and (3) deriving from life's transitoriness an incentive to take responsible action" (Frankl, 1985, p. 162).

Unlike toxic positivity, which denies or rushes past pain, **tragic optimism accepts suffering** and searches for meaning within it. It acknowledges that life includes hardship and imperfection, but asserts that one can still act with purpose and hope.

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN : 3049-3927(Online)

Tragic Optimism vs. Toxic Positivity: Key Distinctions

Aspect	Toxic Positivity	Tragic Optimism
Emotional Response	Suppresses negative feelings	Accepts pain as real and valid
Belief System	"Everything is fine"	"Life can be meaningful despite pain"
Typical Statements	"Good vibes only"	"This hurts, but I can grow from it"
Psychological Impact	Shame, repression, disconnection	Acceptance, growth, deeper resilience

Empirical Support for Tragic Optimism

While tragic optimism is rooted in existential philosophy, it has been studied empirically—particularly in times of crisis. One of the most compelling recent studies is by Russo-Netzer & Ben-Shahar (2014), who found that tragic optimism significantly predicted **resilience, purpose, and psychological well-being** during life adversity.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, a study by Arslan and Yıldırım (2021) measured tragic optimism in Turkish adults and found it **negatively correlated with depression and anxiety**, and positively with life satisfaction. These results echoed earlier research suggesting that meaning-making during trauma can buffer psychological distress (Park, 2010).

Example

In post-war contexts, tragic optimism has been linked to post-traumatic growth among survivors of genocide and displacement. In studies of Rwandan genocide survivors, those who found existential meaning in their suffering were more likely to recover psychological stability than those who did not (Staub & Pearlman, 2001).

Clinical and Cultural Applications

Psychotherapists have increasingly integrated tragic optimism into their practice, especially when treating clients dealing with grief, terminal illness, or existential anxiety. Existential therapy and **logotherapy**—Frankl's approach—focus on helping clients discover personal meaning even in suffering.

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN : 3049-3927(Online)

Therapeutic interventions may include:

- Guided meaning-making exercises
- Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT), which promotes psychological flexibility
- Journaling and storytelling for narrative reconstruction of trauma

In contrast to toxic positivity, these methods validate distress while helping individuals remain connected to purpose, values, or even spirituality.

Real-World Application

Palliative care settings have embraced tragic optimism as a framework for supporting end-of-life patients and their families. For instance, the **Meaning-Centered Psychotherapy** model developed at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center encourages terminally ill patients to reflect on their legacy and values, increasing peace and reducing death anxiety (Breitbart et al., 2010).

Why Tragic Optimism Matters Now

In a global culture increasingly shaped by curated social media images, hustle culture, and hyper-productivity, tragic optimism offers a **reality-grounded antidote**. It reminds us that psychological strength is not the absence of suffering, but the **courage to live fully through it**.

As Frankl wrote

> "Suffering ceases to be suffering at the moment it finds a meaning."

Conclusion

Tragic optimism challenges the shallow encouragements of toxic positivity by grounding hope in truth—not denial. It offers a language of resilience rooted in acceptance, dignity, and meaning. As mental health fields evolve, Frankl's philosophy remains profoundly relevant in guiding both clients and communities through adversity.

Recommendations for Positive Psychology and Conclusion

Reforming the Narrative of Positive Psychology

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN : 3049-3927(Online)

The field of positive psychology has contributed immensely to our understanding of human strengths, flourishing, and well-being. However, to remain **ethically and scientifically robust**, it must confront the unintended consequences of oversimplified or misapplied positivity. Researchers and practitioners should:

- **Acknowledge emotional complexity** as a core human reality.
- Differentiate between adaptive optimism and emotionally repressive positivity.
- Emphasize **psychological flexibility** over prescriptive happiness.

For example, incorporating Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT)—which teaches clients to accept difficult emotions while committing to meaningful actions—may offer a more comprehensive approach than simple gratitude interventions.

Shift in Educational and Clinical Practice

Psychological training programs should include **critical literacy** in emotional culture and the limits of positivity. Mental health professionals need to learn how to validate clients' suffering without rushing toward solution-focused reframing.

Suggested clinical tools

- Emotion validation frameworks (Linehan, 1993)
- Existential and meaning-centered therapies (Frankl, 1985; Breitbart et al., 2010)
- Trauma-informed care that respects the complexity of healing

Similarly, public-facing wellness initiatives—such as workplace wellness programs—should avoid enforcing emotional norms (e.g., mandatory gratitude challenges) that pathologize normal emotional experiences.

Encouraging Nuanced Public Discourse

Social media platforms and popular psychology content must move beyond "good vibes only" slogans. Influencers, wellness coaches, and even therapists in digital spaces should emphasize emotional inclusion, trauma-awareness, and balanced narratives.

Public campaigns should promote messages like

- "It's okay to not be okay."
- "Your feelings are valid—even the hard ones."
- "Growth and pain often coexist."

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN : 3049-3927(Online)

Policy and Workplace Implications

Organizational and public policy structures can adopt a more trauma-informed, human-centered philosophy of well-being. For example:

- Include **mental health days** as part of corporate leave policies.
- Train managers to **recognize emotional labor** and avoid toxic resilience rhetoric.
- Implement feedback loops for **emotional safety** in schools, hospitals, and workplaces.

Conclusion

While the field of positive psychology was born from the noble desire to help people live fuller lives, its oversimplified cultural expressions—often reduced to relentless positivity—risk doing the opposite. Toxic positivity suppresses human complexity, invalidates emotional suffering, and disconnects people from the support they need during grief, trauma, or illness.

By embracing **tragic optimism**, we acknowledge that true resilience does not lie in denial but in **facing suffering with purpose**. Through emotionally inclusive research, trauma-informed care, and cultural humility, positive psychology can evolve beyond its limitations.

In a world increasingly shaped by crisis, loss, and disconnection, we don't need more forced smiles—we need **meaningful connection, emotional truth, and compassionate realism**.

References

Arslan, G., & Yıldırım, M. (2021). Tragic optimism as a protective factor for mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. Death Studies, 45(8), 597–605. https://doi.org/10.1080/07481187.2020.1854047

Barrett, L. F., Gross, J. J., Christensen, T. C., & Benvenuto, M. (2020). Emotion socialization and the rise of toxic positivity. Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 39(9), 785–799. https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2020.39.9.785

Bastian, B., Kuppens, P., Hornsey, M. J., Park, J., Koval, P., & Uchida, Y. (2012). Feeling bad about being sad: The role of social expectancies in amplifying negative emotions. Emotion, 12(1), 69–80. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024755

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN : 3049-3927(Online)

Breitbart, W., Poppito, S. R., & Rosenfeld, B. (2010). Meaning-Centered Psychotherapy in the Cancer Setting: Finding Meaning and Hope in the Face of Suffering. Oxford University Press.

Brown, B. (2015). Daring Greatly: How the Courage to Be Vulnerable Transforms the Way We Live, Love, Parent, and Lead. Gotham Books.

Chou, H. T. G., & Edge, N. (2012). “They are happier and having better lives than I am”: The impact of using Facebook on perceptions of others' lives. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 15(2), 117–121. https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2011.0324

Feingold, J. H., Peccoralo, L., Chan, C. C., Kaplan, C. A., Kaye-Kauderer, H., Charney, D., & Southwick, S. (2022). Resilience in healthcare workers during COVID-19. General Hospital Psychiatry, 74, 39–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2021.09.004

Ford, B. Q., Lam, P., John, O. P., & Mauss, I. B. (2018). The psychological health benefits of accepting negative emotions and thoughts: Laboratory, diary, and longitudinal evidence. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 115(6), 1075–1092. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000157

Frankl, V. E. (1985). Man's Search for Meaning. Washington Square Press.

Goodman, W. (2021). Toxic Positivity: Keeping It Real in a World Obsessed with Being Happy. TarcherPerigee.

Gross, J. J., & Levenson, R. W. (1997). Hiding feelings: The acute effects of inhibiting negative and positive emotion. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 106(1), 95–103. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.106.1.95

Hochschild, A. R. (1983). The Managed Heart: Commercialization of Human Feeling. University of California Press.

Kashdan, T. B., & Rottenberg, J. (2010). Psychological flexibility as a fundamental aspect of health. Clinical Psychology Review, 30(7), 865–878. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2010.03.001

Linehan, M. M. (1993). Cognitive-Behavioral Treatment of Borderline Personality Disorder. Guilford Press.

Miller, A. (2021). You Are the Mother of All Mothers. Centering.

Mizrahi, M., Bayat, M., & Raskin, J. D. (2020). "Looking Good, Feeling Awful": The burden of positive thinking in chronic illness. Journal of Health Psychology, 25(5), 678–690. https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105317739105

ANANYAŚĀSTRAM:
An International Multidisciplinary Journal
(A Unique Treatise of Knowledge)
ISSN : 3049-3927(Online)

Neimeyer, R. A., & Burke, L. A. (2017). Complicated grief and the quest for meaning: A constructivist contribution. OMEGA-Journal of Death and Dying, 74(4), 374–392. https://doi.org/10.1177/0030222817697592

Park, C. L. (2010). Making sense of the meaning literature: An integrative review of meaning making and its effects on adjustment to stressful life events. Psychological Bulletin, 136(2), 257–301. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018301

Quintero, D., & Long, M. M. (2019). The paradox of toxic positivity in mental health communication. Journal of Health Communication Research, 4(2), 112–130. https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730.2019.1689504

Russo-Netzer, P., & Ben-Shahar, T. (2014). Finding meaning through flow: The role of tragic optimism. Journal of Positive Psychology, 9(6), 499–508. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2014.936962

Shallcross, A. J., Troy, A. S., Boland, M., & Mauss, I. B. (2010). Let it be: Accepting negative emotional experiences predicts decreased negative affect and depressive symptoms. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 48(11), 921–929. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2010.05.025

Staub, E., & Pearlman, L. A. (2001). Healing, reconciliation, and forgiveness after genocide and other collective violence. In Forgiveness and reconciliation (pp. 205–227). Templeton Foundation Press.